Low dose interpolated average CT for thoracic PET/CT attenuation correction using an active breathing controller
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Purpose: The temporal mismatch between PET and standard helical CT (HCT) causes substantial respiratory artifacts in PET reconstructed images when using HCT as the attenuation map. Previously we developed an interpolated average CT (IACT) method for attenuation correction (AC) and demonstrated its merits in simulations. In this study we aim to apply IACT in patients with thoracic lesions using an active breathing controller (ABC).

Methods: Under local ethics approval, we recruited 15 patients with a total of 18 lesions in different thoracic regions: left upper lobe (2), right upper lobe (4), right hilum (3), right lower lobe (3), left hilum (2), and esophagus (4). All patients underwent whole body PET scans 1 h after 300–480 MBq \(^{18}\)F-FDG injection, depending on the patients’ weight. The PET sinograms were reconstructed with AC using: (i) standard HCT [120 kV, smart mA (30–150 mA), 0.984:1 pitch] and (ii) IACT obtained from end-inspiration and end-expiration breath-hold HCTs (120 kV, 10 mA, 0.984:1 pitch) aided by ABC. IACT was obtained by averaging the intensity of two extreme phases and the interpolated phases between them, where the nonlinear interpolation was obtained by B-spline registration and with an empirical sinusoidal function. The SUV\(_{\text{max}}\), SUV\(_{\text{mean}}\), and the differences of centroid-of-lesion \((d)\) between PET and different CT schemes were measured for each lesion.

Results: From visual inspection, the respiratory artifacts and blurring generally reduced in the thoracic region for PET IACT. Matching between CT and PET improved for PET IACT, with an average decrease of \(d\) for 1.34 ± 1.79 mm as compared to PET HCT. The SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) and SUV\(_{\text{mean}}\) were consistently higher for PET IACT versus PET HCT for all lesions, with (30.95 ± 18.63)% and (22.39 ± 15.91)% average increase, respectively.

Conclusions: IACT-ABC reduces respiratory artifacts, PET/CT misregistration and enhances lesion quantitation. This technique is a robust and low dose AC protocol for clinical oncology application especially in the thoracic region. © 2013 American Association of Physicists in Medicine. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1118/1.4820976]
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1. INTRODUCTION

Image quality of the combined PET/CT is hampered by respiratory misalignments and artifacts. In principle, CT captures a distinct respiratory phase of the thoracic cavity, which does not match with the time-averaged position of the thoracic structures as PET acquisition captures. The problem of respiratory artifacts has been most closely studied for myocardial perfusion PET/CT, while more than 40% of the studies have artifactual defects in the cardiac region when no steps are taken to address the PET/CT alignment, causing significant diagnostic error.\(^1\) Different types of mismatch led to artifacts and increases in myocardial nonuniformity.\(^2,3\) Misregistration of the stress PET/CT also affected the global and regional myocardial blood flow estimation.\(^4,5\) In some studies, underestimation of the standardized uptake value (SUV) of the lung lesions was observed.\(^6-8\) Mismatched attenuation correction (AC) can also cause SUV overestimation for lower lung tumors that are located close to the liver dome, leading to complicated SUV errors.\(^9\) All these PET/CT mismatching
artifacts will lead to inaccurate localization and quantification of tumors, hence potential misdiagnoses.10–13

Besides simple manual or automatic registration based on the outline of the heart for the misaligned PET and CT as suggested by Martinez-Möller et al.,4 several methods have been investigated mostly to reduce the PET/CT misalignments and artifacts so far: breathing instruction, CT protocols, and gated four-dimensional (4D) PET/CT. Breathing instruction methods like normal end-expiration breath-hold during the CT scan can reduce the occurrence and the severity of respiratory curvilinear artifacts on co-registered PET/CT images.14 Juan et al. showed that PET reconstructed images from patients of normal end-expiration breath-hold group had 28% less incidence rate of artifacts as compared with those from the free-breathing group.15 This method is not practical for all patients since it requires patients’ compliance and may not be feasible for patients with limited pulmonary function.16 Specific CT protocols based on the axial or helical mode have also been proposed for AC in PET images. Low-pitch CT approximates the average respiratory position and may introduce some blurriness which matches the conditions that occur during the PET measurement.17 Cine average CT (CACT) was developed for AC in PET and showed significantly less misalignments and artifacts as compared with conventional helical CT (HCT) based AC.18,19 Alessio et al. further evaluated both average and intensity maximum images of 4D CT and indicated the later method had better alignments between PET and CT.20 The main problem of CACT is relatively high radiation dose. Gated 4D PET/CT provides possible motion compensation in PET reconstruction and motion information for radiation therapy.21 In 4D PET/CT, each respiratory PET bin can be transformed to a reference target frame that corresponds well to a matched HCT or a CT frame acquired from 4D CT data. All registered bins were used to form a single PET bin for AC to improve quantitative accuracy.22–25 Recently Fayad et al. proposed to generate a virtual 4D CT based on one reference CT image and 4D motion fields obtained from PET to reduce the radiation dose.26 Liu et al. developed another quiescent period gating method to utilize the end-expiration quiescent phase of PET data to match the end-expiration CT.27 Some investigators incorporated motion estimation into the iterative reconstruction process to lower image noise of gated PET bins which had less photon counts.28,29 However, its main disadvantages are the high dose from the potential 4D CT, increased acquisition and postprocessing time. More details on different respiratory artifact reduction techniques are described in our previous review.30

Formerly we developed an interpolated average CT (IACT) method for AC to reduce PET/CT misalignments. For IACT, two HCTs of the end-inspiration and end-expiration phases are acquired, while interpolated phases are obtained between these two phases using deformable image registration and empirical sinusoidal functions. The IACT is then generated by averaging the original and interpolated phases. Its preliminary effectiveness and possible image quality improvement were evaluated using CACT data in a clinical study,31 where actual realization was still pending. We then performed a simulation study to evaluate the accuracy and robustness of IACT for different respiratory motion amplitudes and when misplacement occurred for capturing the two extreme phases.32 In this study, we demonstrate a clinical implementation of IACT with the aid of an active breathing controller (ABC) prototype, and investigate its potential improvement for AC as compared to the conventional HCT in patients with thoracic lesions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.A. Patient population

Between October 2012 and December 2012, we recruited 15 patients with a total of 18 lesions in different thoracic regions: left upper lobe (2), right upper lobe (4), right hilum (3), right lower lobe (3), left hilum (2), and esophagus (4). A summary of their demographic data is shown in Table I. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Taipei Veterans General Hospital, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. All patients were recruited through their scheduled whole-body PET/CT procedures, and those who were with history of inferior pulmonary function or were unable to follow the breath-hold procedures were excluded from this study (n = 3).

2.B. Acquisition protocol

Patients were injected with 300–480 MBq of 18F-FDG, the relative dose measured according to each patient’s weight and scanned 1 h postinjection. For each patient, four imaging sessions were acquired:

(i) standard shallow free breathing whole-body HCT [120 kV, smart mA (range 30–150 mA), helical mode, 0.984:1 pitch, 0.5 s gantry rotation];

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Lesion location</th>
<th>Lesion volume (c.c.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Left upper lobe</td>
<td>5.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Right upper lobe</td>
<td>8.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Right middle lobe, close to rib</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Right middle lobe</td>
<td>21.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Left upper lobe</td>
<td>7.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Right upper lobe</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Right middle lobe</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Right middle lobe</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Right upper lobe</td>
<td>7.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Right upper lobe, close to rib</td>
<td>9.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Right middle lobe</td>
<td>11.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>25.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>15.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(ii) whole-body PET for seven bed positions with 3 min/bed;
(iii) end-inspiration and end-expiration breath-hold HCTs aided with ABC (120 kV, 10 mA helical mode, 0.984:1 pitch, 0.5 s gantry rotation time and a total of 4.4 s/scan) for the thoracic region;
(iv) thoracic PET for two bed positions with 3 min/bed.

All scans were acquired using a PET/CT scanner (Discovery VCT, GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI) in three-dimensional (3D) mode with transaxial field-of-views (FOVs) of 70 and 50 cm for PET and CT, respectively. The thoracic PET was performed due to the fact that the patients changed position after the whole body session for accommodating the ABC device.

2.C. Active breathing controller design

Voluntary breath-hold of end-expiration and end-inspiration phases performed by the patients themselves probably could not represent the normal breathing state as that found in PET acquisition. Using these phases to generate IACT may introduce even more artifacts as compared to conventional HCT. Thus, a noninvasive ABC system (Fig. 1) was developed in this study based on the idea originally proposed by Wong et al. for radiotherapy33 but with certain modifications for PET/CT. It integrates a spirometer, an air mask, and a tube-valve system. Patients were asked to perform mouth-breathing using the air mask that connected to the tube. The flow sensor inserted in the tube detected the real-time breathing flow rate of the patients and sampled the signal to the microcontroller, which further preprocessed the signal and sent it to the PC through a USB connector. An acquisition program based on C++ was developed to process the input signal and control the switching of the valve in the tube. The program can detect the change of the air flow direction according to the flow rate measurement to locate the end-inspiration and end-expiration phases, while the trigger circuit can then automatically control the closing of the valve located in the end of tube to suspend the patients’ breathing. Hence, the operator only needs to notify the program when he/she is ready to acquire the CT data.

The flow rates signal can be integrated to determine the change of lung volume during the respiratory cycle. Before the acquisition a system calibration was performed in order to compensate the signal drift caused by the substantial change of temperature and atmospheric pressure. Subjects were coached before the actual CT scans to adapt to the ABC. The operator manually started the HCT scans once the patient had demonstrated the ability to hold his/her breath for ~6 s during the two extreme phases. Sample changes of lung volume during the breathing cycles under the ABC control are shown in Fig. 2.

2.D. IACT generation

B-spline, a deformable image registration algorithm, was applied to calculate the deformation vectors which includes lateral, anterior–posterior, and inferior–superior displacement for each voxel on two CT volumes, i.e., end-inspiration and end-expiration phases obtained from ABC,34 based on the Insight Segmentation and Registration Toolkit (ITK).35 One CT image was chosen as the fixed image, i.e., end-inspiration phase, while the other was used as the moving image, i.e., end-expiration phase. A single rigid registration was conducted in the first step. Three stages of B-spline registration were performed later using a multiresolution method in the second step. The grid resolution of the control points improved and their grid-spacing decreased along different stages in this step. The deformation field was determined when the mean square error of the two CT images was smaller than a positive value $\varepsilon$ ($0.001$) in each resolution level.

The forward deformation vector $\Phi_{ie}$ was calculated from end-inspiration phase #1 to end-expiration phase #7 and the backward deformation vector $\Phi_{ei}$ was calculated from phase #7 to phase #1. For interpolation of $\Phi_{ie}$ and $\Phi_{ei}$ to obtain the interpolated phases, a diaphragmatic movement function during respiratory cycles was introduced:36

$$z(t) = z_0 - b \cos^{2n} \left( \frac{\pi t}{\tau} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $z(t)$ = position of diaphragm at time $t$, $z_0$ = diaphragm position at end-expiration, $b = \text{amplitude of motion}$, $\tau = \text{period of motion}$, and $n = \text{degree of asymmetry}$ which depends on the patient-specific respiratory signals. The power of “$2n$” made Eq. (1) resulted in more phases near the end-expiration than near the end-inspiration. The inspiration/expiration ratios were calculated for one respiratory cycle for different integer $n$ from Eq. (1) and compared with the actual ratios measured in the acquired breathing signal from each patient, and $n$ was
determined when its corresponding ratios had the best fit with the measured data. In our study, $n = 3$ was used for most patients except $n = 2$ for patient #7 and $n = 4$ for patient #12. To generate intermediate images, $\Phi_{ie}$ was divided based on $z(t)$ to obtain interpolated deformation fields. Thus, we can generate interpolated phases #2, #3, #4, #5, and #6 by warping original phase #1 based on $\Phi_{ie}$ (Fig. 3). Similarly, phases #8, #9, #10, #11, and #12 were warped from phase #7 based on $\Phi_{ie}$. The final IACT was generated by averaging the image intensity of ten interpolated and two original extreme phases, i.e., a total of 12 phases.

2.E. Data postprocessing and image analysis

The PET raw data were reconstructed using 3D ordered-subset expectation maximization (OS-EM) (28 subsets; 2 iterations; pixel matrix of $128 \times 128$) algorithm available on the PET/CT workstation. The PET sinograms were corrected for random, scatter, isotope decay and attenuation using HCT and IACT, respectively.

The PET reconstructed images with AC using HCT and IACT, i.e., $P_{ETHCT}$ and $P_{PETIACT}$, were registered with associated CT for further analysis.

2.E.1. Misalignments between PET and CT

A 3D volume-of-interest (VOI) was delineated for each lesion on PET images using a semiautomatic region growing method with a 50% cut off threshold of the maximum intensity value.\textsuperscript{37–39} The corresponding delineation for VOI in the CT images was performed with the “lung” window by a radiation oncologist. The coordinates of the centroid of the lesion in $P_{ETHCT}$, HCT, $P_{PETIACT}$, and IACT were determined on the chosen VOIs.\textsuperscript{40, 41} The distances ($d$) between the lesion centroid of PET and associate CT were then obtained.

2.E.2. SUV

For each lesion, maximum SUV value ($SUV_{\text{max}}$) and mean SUV value ($SUV_{\text{mean}}$) were measured based on the VOIs drawn on the $P_{ETHCT}$ and $P_{PETIACT}$ images.

2.E.3. Radiation dose

For different CT protocols and subjects, the radiation dose was expressed using the effective dose in mSv for the thoracic region. An approximation of the effective dose was obtained by multiplying the volume CT dose length product (mGy-cm) as reported by the manufacturer’s software with a conversion factor $k$ (0.014 mSv mGy$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$).\textsuperscript{42}
TABLE II. Summary of different quantitative figures-of-merit for HCT and IACT AC methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>SUV\textsubscript{max}</th>
<th>SUV\textsubscript{mean}</th>
<th>(d) (mm)</th>
<th>HCT/PET\textsubscript{HCT}</th>
<th>IACT/PET\textsubscript{IACT}</th>
<th>Diff. (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>17.22</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>13.52</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>49.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>33.84</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>14.97</td>
<td>24.44</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>15.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>73.39</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>46.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>23.79</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>28.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>15.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>24.29</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>24.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>59.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>20.07</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>53.59</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>30.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>26.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15.77</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>35.07</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>26.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>30.95</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>10.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>18.63</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>22.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. RESULTS

For all 15 patients with 18 lesions, IACT generally reduced lesion mismatch \((d)\) between CT and corresponding PET attenuation corrected images (Table II), with average decrease of \(1.34 \pm 1.79\) mm among all measurable lesions. The centroid difference was not obtainable for patients with esophageal lesions as they could not be delineated on the CT images.

Meanwhile, the SUV\textsubscript{max} and SUV\textsubscript{mean} for the lesions are summarized in Table II. PET\textsubscript{IACT} generally showed increased SUV\textsubscript{max} and SUV\textsubscript{mean} for all lesions when compared to PET\textsubscript{HCT}. The percentage increments (%diff) are \((30.95 \pm 18.63\)%) and \((22.39 \pm 15.91\)%) for SUV\textsubscript{max} and SUV\textsubscript{mean}, respectively.

Sample images of three patients with lung lesions are shown in Fig. 4–6, respectively. In Fig. 4, the IACT AC method provided a better matching for the CT and PET image as compared to HCT for AC. The visual assessment matched with the quantitative measurement of the centroid difference between CT and PET in Table II \((d_{\text{HCT/PET-HCT}} = 9.34\) mm; \(d_{\text{IACT/PET-IACT}} = 1.36\) mm; \(\text{diff} = -7.98\) mm). For another patient as demonstrated in Fig. 5, the contrast of the lesion in the right upper lobe substantially improved for PET\textsubscript{IACT} as compared to PET\textsubscript{HCT}, with increase of SUV\textsubscript{max} and SUV\textsubscript{mean} of 53.8% and 30.61%, respectively. The general resolution also improved for PET\textsubscript{IACT} as compared to PET\textsubscript{HCT} in this patient as indicated in the vertical profiles drawn on Fig. 5(c), where the lesion full-width-at-half-maximum appeared to be smaller with improved structure details in the liver region on PET\textsubscript{IACT}. The PET\textsubscript{HCT} had severe "cold" artifacts around the left ventricle region and also in the region close to the left diaphragm, while the artifacts reduced in the PET\textsubscript{IACT}. Characteristic curvilinear artifact was also observed on PET\textsubscript{HCT} in patient #13 (Fig. 6).

The estimated effective dose was 0.38 mSv for IACT for the thoracic region, while HCT had an average effective dose.
FIG. 5. Sample coronal images of (a) HCT (left); PET HCT (middle); fusion image (right) and (b) IACT (left); PET IACT (middle); fusion image (right) for patient #10. Artifacts around the left diaphragm and left ventricle region were observed on the PET HCT images (red arrows). (c) Vertical image profiles drawn across the lesion on PET HCT and PET IACT images. Red arrow indicated the lesion area and the dotted red arrow indicated the liver region.

of 2.1 mSv (1.58–2.42 mSv) in the same region. The IACT reduced up to 84.29% effective dose as compared to HCT method in this study.

4. DISCUSSION

Our ABC device samples the respiratory signal by detecting the air flow with 20 Hz sampling frequency, while the peaks and the valleys of the signal indicates end-inspiration and end-expiration phases, respectively. During the acquisition, the operator observes the real time signal and indicates when he/she is ready for acquiring the CT data. The acquisition program will then automatically determine the extreme phases and send a high level voltage signal to the electronic board to close the valve connected to the breathing tube with <0.1 s. The patient will hear a sharp sound from the closing valve and a backward force from the tube will then enforce the patient to hold his/her breath. The time from the valve closing to patient’s response lasts <1 s. We thus set the valve closing time to ∼6 s to enable patients to hold their breath sufficiently long enough for the HCT scan, which takes ∼4.4 s. The short breath-holding time particularly enhances the feasibility of this study, as compared with other breathing-instruction methods.\textsuperscript{40} Our former simulation study showed that the IACT generated from the “shifted” respiratory phases, i.e., the phases right after the extreme phases, provided very similar results of the IACT generated from the exact end-inspiration and end-expiration phases. Besides, some patients may have residual breathing after the valve is closed (Fig. 7), which may affect the subsequent IACT generation. Thus, besides coaching, all patients underwent a mock

FIG. 6. Coronal views of (a) PET HCT and (b) PET IACT for patient #13. Curvilinear artifact was observed on PET HCT (red arrow) but reduced on PET IACT.

FIG. 7. Sample respiratory signal of a patient indicated residual breathing (red arrow) during a breath hold mediated by the ABC.
acquisition before the experiment to confirm their compliance of breath-holding.

We used tube current of 10 mA for the IACT acquisition because it was the lowest value available on the current scanner. Even though the 10 mA CT images are much noisier than the conventional diagnostic CT studies, they are still feasible for generating the IACT for AC in PET/CT. While IACT only imposed 0.38 mSv dose from two separate low dose HCT scans to each patient, the improvement of image quality and quantitative accuracy was substantial. According to Xia et al., the PET noise introduced by the ultralow dose CT scans does not significantly affect the diagnostic information and the image quality of the associate attenuation-corrected PET. Hence, CT dose can be reduced while it is mainly for AC and providing registered anatomical information, but may not be feasible for diagnostic purpose. Notably, the IACT for PET AC is relatively smoothed by averaging the extreme and interpolated images. Thus, extra smoothing for CT raw data was not performed before the IACT generation.

The movement of the structures in the thorax is highly correlated to the diaphragm motion during the respiration. Thus, in this paper, we utilized a diaphragmatic movement function [Eq. (1)] during the respiratory cycles to model the lesion movement pattern. On the other hand, the B-spline method used in this study estimated the deformation vector of the lesion in three directions: superior-to-inferior, anterior-to-posterior, and lateral. However, this combined vector may not indicate hysteresis motion when the lesion moving trajectories are not consistent from inspiration to expiration and vice versa. This motion will induce a larger tumor volume size appeared in the PET images as compared to its real size, leading to the PET/CT misalignment. The lesions in the upper lung are more subject to the respiratory hysteresis and are more complicated to model as compared to the ones in the lower lung. In this study, we observed that only some of the lesions in the upper lung had inferior mismatching in IACT-AC than using HCT-AC (patient #2). The motion is even more complex with the lesions attached to the rigid structure in the thorax, e.g., the pleura near the ribcage (patient #10). So far, we did not observe significant difference in terms of the quantification results for different thoracic regions which may due to the number of lesions in each region was still low. However, the preliminary results from our simulation showed that the improvement from IACT was more obvious for the lesions in the lower lung. The effects of the lesion sizes, locations, uptake ratios and movement pattern on IACT effectiveness are being further investigated in our current simulation study. We are also recruiting more subjects and developing a better categorization scheme to improve the statistics in each region.

Patients tend to spend more time towards the end-expiration period and this can be reflected in the asymmetry of the respiratory signal. For each patient, this degree of asymmetry was represented by an integer n in Eq. (1) and can be determined for each patient specifically according to their acquired respiratory signal by calculating the elapsed time ratio between expiration and inspiration. Thus, our sinusoidal function for interpolation is indeed semipatient specific. One may suggest using the fully patient specific breathing signal for the interpolation function. However, our preliminary study showed that the quantitative difference of the PET results of using these two functions were negligible. Also, the errors of determination of the PET and CT centroids were negligible, with ∼1% and ∼3% for CT and PET, respectively, for a 6 mm spherical lesion with 8:1 uptake ratio from our simulation study (data not shown).

One major concern in this study is that the PET IACT was done after the PET HCT, due to the positioning of the ABC device was not feasible for the standard whole-body PET protocol. Thus, concatenating the IACT into the standard whole-body HCT (Ref. 19) was not a possible option in this study. The longer activity distribution time for thoracic PET acquisition may “artificially” enhance the lesion SUV (Ref. 47) and overestimate the effectiveness of IACT. However, we found the average SUV mean increase (32.9%) of the malignant lung tumors (n = 9) was substantially higher than the increased value (20.5%) as suggested by Matthies et al. who evaluated dual time point FDG PET for pulmonary nodules, even the delay between 2nd and 1st PET scan was just 30 min as compared to ~60 min in other dual time point studies. Also, all benign lung lesions (n = 5) in this study showed obvious SUV increase in PET IACT as compared to PET HCT, although they usually do not demonstrate increased SUV for dual time point scans. The lesion centroid differences between PET and associate CT also confirmed the effectiveness of IACT (Table II). In the future study, we will implement an improved protocol so that the thoracic IACT can be incorporated into the standard whole-body HCT directly to become a composite whole-body CT dataset for whole-body PET reconstruction to rule out the potential delayed uptake effect. Besides, the IACT generation is automatically done with two registration processes, while gated 4D PET/CT may require multiple registrations. For CACT, there is no external respiratory monitoring device and breath-hold required for the acquisition, making it clinically more favorable. However, the dose reduction of IACT as compared to CACT is still substantial (0.38 mSv vs less than 1 mSv, 1.35 mSv, and 2.36 mSv). We have also applied the IACT for PET/CT cardiac scans and showed substantial image quality improvement on the reconstructed images.

5. CONCLUSION

In this study, we have developed an ABC prototype and evaluated the clinical feasibility of IACT-ABC method on patients with thoracic tumors. We showed that it potentially improved PET reconstructed image quality as compared to the conventional HCT, with reduced respiratory artifacts and spatial mismatch, increased SUV of the lesions and lowered radiation dose. IACT with the aid of ABC is a robust method for thoracic PET/CT AC and is promising to improve diagnostic accuracy.
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